

Prof. univ. dr. Laurentiu Rozyłowicz

Tematică doctorat (Research themes):

1. Effectiveness of carnivore conservation in Romania
2. Pathways to human-wildlife coexistence
3. Social-ecological approaches to interspecific interactions in mammalian communities
4. Social-ecological analysis of environmental governance in Romania (case studies for taxa)

Referințe (References):

1. Bennett, N.J., Satterfield, T. (2018) Environmental governance: A practical framework to guide design, evaluation, and analysis. *Conservation Letters* 11, e12600
<https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12600>
2. Bodin, Ö., 2017. Collaborative environmental governance: Achieving collective action in social-ecological systems. *Science* 357, 659, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan1114>
3. Borgatti, S.P., Everett, M.G., Johnson, J.C., 2018. *Analyzing social networks*. 2nd edition. Sage.
4. Cumming, G.S., Epstein, G., Anderies, J.M., Apetrei, C.I., Baggio, J., Bodin, Ö., Chawla, S., Clements, H.S., Cox, M., Egli, L., Gurney, G.G., Lubell, M., Magliocca, N., Morrison, T.H., Müller, B., Seppelt, R., Schlüter, M., Unnikrishnan, H., Villamayor-Tomas, S., Weible, C.M. (2020) Advancing understanding of natural resource governance: a post-Ostrom research agenda. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 44, 26-34
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2020.02.005>
5. Hartel T., Scheele B.C., Vanak A.T., Rozyłowicz L., Linnell J.D.C., Ritchie E.G. (2019) Mainstreaming large carnivore-human coexistence through institutional collaboration. *Conservation Biology* 33(6), 1256-1265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13334>
6. Hartel, T., Nita, A., Rozyłowicz, L. (2020) Understanding human–nature connections through value networks: the case of ancient wood-pastures of Central Romania. *Sustainability Science* 15, 1357-1367 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-020-00811-z>
7. König, H.J., Carter, N., Ceaușu, S., Lamb, C., Ford, A.T., Kiffner, C. (2021) Human–wildlife coexistence in science and practice. *Conservation Science and Practice* 3. <https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.401>
8. Lien, A. M., 2020. The institutional grammar tool in policy analysis and applications to resilience and robustness research. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 44, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2020.02.004>
9. Manolache, S., Nita, A., Ciocanea, C.M., Popescu, V.D., Rozyłowicz, L., 2018. Power, influence and structure in Natura 2000 governance networks. A comparative analysis of two protected areas in Romania. *Journal of Environmental Management* 212, 54-64, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.01.076>
10. Nita, A., Ciocanea, C.M., Manolache, S., Rozyłowicz, L., 2018. A network approach for understanding opportunities and barriers to effective public participation in the management of protected areas. *Social Network Analysis and Mining* 8, 31, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13278-018-0509-y>

11. Nyhus, P.J. (2016) Human–Wildlife Conflict and Coexistence. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 41, 143-171. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-110615-085634>
12. Ostrom, E. (2009) *Understanding institutional diversity*. Princeton University Press, Princeton
13. Pooley, S., Bhatia, S., Vasava, A. (2021) Rethinking the study of human-wildlife coexistence. *Conservation Biology* 35, 784-793. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13653>
14. Siddiki, S., Heikkila, T., Weible, C.M., Pacheco-Vega, R., Carter, D., Curley, C., Deslatte, A. and Bennett, A., 2019. Institutional Analysis with the Institutional Grammar. *Policy Studies Journal* <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12361>
15. Sutherland, W., 2022. *Transforming Conservation: A Practical Guide to Evidence and Decision Making*. <https://www.openbookpublishers.com/books/10.11647/obp.0321>
16. Treves, A., Santiago-Avila, F.J. (2020) Myths and assumptions about human-wildlife conflict and coexistence. *Conservation Biology* 34, 811-818 <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13472>

Contact: **laurentiu.rozylowicz@g.unibuc.ro**